

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN FLYNN,
Plaintiff,
v.
COUNTY OF TUOLUMNE, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-2265-DJC-JDP (PS)

ORDER

This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On July 14, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper

1 analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

3 1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed July 14, 2025, are
4 ADOPTED; and

5 2. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case for plaintiff's failure to pay
6 the filing fee.

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: **August 22, 2025**


Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE